UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,207	0,207 07/30/2003 Mo Xu		S01.12-1167 / STL 11080.0	2018
	7590	EXAMINER		
<b>SUITE 1400</b>		SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO		
	AVENUE SOUTH S, MN 55402-3319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		05/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/630,207	XU ET AL.	
	A 4 11 14	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Edgardo San Martin	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth it ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and 41.33(a)).		
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:disclosure}).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Edgardo San Martin/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2837	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Regarding claims 1 and 2, the Examiner considers that the obvious combination of the patents to Gidumal and Dodd still teach the limitations described in the claim. Claims 3, 6, 17, 20, 22, 24 and 27 - 31 present new limitations that have not been considered before..